

Completeness of the Hypergeometric Solutions of the qKZ Equation at Level Zero

V. Tarasov

*St. Petersburg Branch of Steklov Mathematical Institute
Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg 191011, Russia*

September 21, 1998

Abstract. We discuss the hypergeometric solutions of the quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (qKZ) equation at level zero and show that they give all solutions of the qKZ equation. We completely describe linear relations between the hypergeometric solutions and give an interpretation of the relations in terms of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ at $q = e^{\pi i/2}$.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the hypergeometric solutions of the quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (qKZ) equation at level zero, cf. (2.1)–(2.3). We are going to address two problems. The first one is to show that the hypergeometric solutions give all solutions of the qKZ equation. The second one is to describe completely linear relations between the hypergeometric solutions, in other words, to say in what cases the construction of hypergeometric solutions produces zero solutions of the qKZ equation.

The qKZ equation (2.1) at level zero for $\mu = 0$ was introduced in [S1] as equations for form factors in the $SU(2)$ -invariant Thirring model and a construction of the hypergeometric solutions was given therein. A simple counting of dimensions shows that the obtained hypergeometric solutions are not linearly independent. Linear relations between the hypergeometric solutions were found later in [S2] as a consequence of the deformed Riemann bilinear identity, and it was conjectured that there was no other linear relations between the hypergeometric solutions. This conjecture, in particular, implied that all solutions of the qKZ equation can be obtained as hypergeometric solutions.

For the rational $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -type qKZ equation at generic level the hypergeometric solutions were constructed in [TV], [MV] and it was shown that they exhausted all solutions of the qKZ equation. The general construction was adapted to the case of level zero for $\mu = 0$ in [NPT] and Smirnov's solution were obtained from it, but completeness of the hypergeometric solutions still remained an open question.

In this paper we consider the qKZ equation (2.1) at level zero both for $\mu \neq 0$ and $\mu = 0$. The completeness of the hypergeometric solutions in the case $\mu \neq 0$ is claimed in Theorem 4.2, the main part of its proof being given by a formula for a determinant of a matrix formed by the hypergeometric integrals, see Theorem 5.3, which is analogous to Theorem 5.14 in [TV]. Theorem 4.2 also implies that in the case $\mu \neq 0$ the hypergeometric solutions are linearly independent.

To prove the completeness of the hypergeometric solutions in the case $\mu = 0$, claimed in Theorem 4.3, we employ a new differential equation obeyed by the hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation, see Theorem 6.1. Linear relations between the hypergeometric solutions in the case $\mu = 0$ are described in Theorem 4.4, its proof being given in Section 7. In Section 8 we interpret the relations between the hypergeometric solutions in terms of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ at $q = e^{\pi i/2}$.

The author thanks A. Nakayashiki and F. Smirnov for helpful discussions. The author is grateful to the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, where the basic part of the paper had been written, for hospitality.

2. The qKZ equation at level zero

Let $\hbar$ be a nonzero complex number. Let $V = \mathbb{C}v_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}v_-$ and let $R(z) \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$ be the following R -matrix:

$$R(z) = \frac{z + \hbar P}{z + \hbar},$$

where $P \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$ is the permutation operator. Let

$$\sigma^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

be the Pauli matrices and

$$H = \frac{1 - \sigma^3}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As usual, for any $X \in \text{End}(V)$ we set $X_i = \text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes X \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id}$, and similarly, if $R = \sum r \otimes r' \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$, then we set

$$R_{ij} = \sum \text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes r_{i\text{-th}} \otimes \dots \otimes r'_{j\text{-th}} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id}.$$

Fix a nonzero complex number p called a *step* and a complex number μ . We consider the qKZ equation for a $V^{\otimes n}$ -valued function $\Psi(z_1, \dots, z_n)$:

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_m + p, \dots, z_n) = K_m(z_1, \dots, z_n) \Psi(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad m = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$(2.2) \quad K_m(z_1, \dots, z_n) = R_{m,m-1}(z_m - z_{m-1} + p) \dots R_{m,1}(z_m - z_1 + p) \times \\ \times \exp(\mu H_m) R_{m,n}(z_m - z_n) \dots R_{m,m+1}(z_m - z_{m+1}).$$

The number $-2 + p/\hbar$ is called a *level* of the qKZ equation. The operators $K_1, \dots, K_n$ are called the qKZ operators. They depend on the parameter μ only via e^μ . So without loss of generality we always assume that

$$0 \leq \text{Im } \mu < 2\pi.$$

Let $\Sigma^a = \sum_{m=1}^n \sigma_m^a$, $a = \pm, 3$. The operators $\Sigma^\pm, \Sigma^3$ define an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action in $V^{\otimes n}$. Denote by $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$ a weight subspace:

$$(V^{\otimes n})_\ell = \{v \in V^{\otimes n} \mid \Sigma^3 v = (n - 2\ell)v\},$$

which is nontrivial only for $\ell = 0, \dots, n$, and by $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}}$ the subspace of singular vectors:

$$(V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}} = \{v \in (V^{\otimes n})_\ell \mid \Sigma^+ v = 0\},$$

which is nontrivial only for $2\ell \leq n$. For $\mu = 0$ the qKZ operators $K_1, \dots, K_n$ commute with the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ action in $V^{\otimes n}$ while for $\mu \neq 0$ they commute only with the action of Σ^3 . Therefore, the space of solutions of the qKZ equation inherits a weight decomposition of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module $V^{\otimes n}$ in general, and all the structure of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module if $\mu = 0$.

In what follows we discuss solutions of the qKZ equation (2.1) taking values in a weight subspace $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$. For $\mu = 0$ we write down only solutions taking values in the subspace of singular vectors $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}}$. All other solutions can be obtained from them by the action of Σ^- .

In this paper we consider the case of the qKZ equation at level zero, that is, all over the paper unless otherwise stated we assume that

$$(2.3) \quad p = 2\hbar.$$

3. Hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation at level zero

The hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation at level zero in the case $\mu = 0$ are discussed in detail in [NPT]. The case $\mu \neq 0$ can be considered similarly. For this reason we give here only the most essential points of the construction. In general, the hypergeometric solutions of the rational qKZ equation are obtained in [TV]. Here we adapt the general construction from [TV] to the case $p = 2\hbar$.

For any function $f(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$ we set

$$\text{Asym } f(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_\ell} \text{sgn}(\sigma) f(t_{\sigma_1}, \dots, t_{\sigma_\ell}).$$

Let M be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\#M = \ell$. We write $M = \{m_1, \dots, m_\ell\}$ assuming that $m_1 < \dots < m_\ell$. Introduce a function w_M as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad w_M = \text{Asym } g_M,$$

$$g_M(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) = \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{1}{t_a - z_{m_a}} \prod_{1 \leq j < m_a} \frac{t_a - z_j - \hbar}{t_a - z_j} \right) \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq \ell} (t_a - t_b - \hbar),$$

and define a vector $v_M \in (V^{\otimes n})_\ell$ by the rule:

$$(3.2) \quad v_M = v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_n}, \quad \varepsilon_m = \begin{cases} + & \text{if } m \notin M \\ - & \text{if } m \in M \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ be a space of functions $F(t_1, \dots, t_\ell; z_1, \dots, z_n)$ p -periodic in $z_1, \dots, z_n$:

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_\ell; z_1, \dots, z_m + p, \dots, z_n) = F(t_1, \dots, t_\ell; z_1, \dots, z_n),$$

and such that the product

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_\ell; z_1, \dots, z_n) \prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} (1 - \exp(2\pi i(t_a - z_m)/p))$$

is an antisymmetric polynomial in the exponentials $e^{2\pi i t_1/p}, \dots, e^{2\pi i t_\ell/p}$ of degree less than n in each of the variables. Notice that any $F \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ is a p -periodic function of $t_1, \dots, t_\ell$:

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_a + p, \dots, t_\ell; z_1, \dots, z_n) = F(t_1, \dots, t_\ell; z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{F}_q^{\wedge \ell} \subset \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ a subspace of functions F such that the product

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_\ell; z_1, \dots, z_n) \exp(-2\pi i \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} t_a/p) \prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} (1 - \exp(2\pi i(t_a - z_m)/p))$$

is a polynomial in the exponentials $e^{2\pi i t_1/p}, \dots, e^{2\pi i t_\ell/p}$.

Remark. Here we defined a minimal space $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ of periodic functions required to obtain the hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation. In [NPT] a larger space of periodic functions is considered. But it is easy to see that it produces the same space of the hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation (2.1) for $\mu = 0$.

Let $\phi(t)$ be the *phase function*:

$$\phi(t) = \exp(\mu t/p) \prod_{m=1}^n \frac{\Gamma((t - z_m - \hbar)/p)}{\Gamma((t - z_m)/p)}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ the phase function $\phi(t)$ has the following asymptotics*

$$\phi(t) = (t/p)^{-n/2} \exp(\mu t/p) (1 + o(1))$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\varepsilon < |\arg(t/p)| < \pi - \varepsilon$.

The statement follows from the Stirling formula.

Let C be a simple curve separating the sets $\bigcup_{m=1}^n (z_m + \hbar + p\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})$ and $\bigcup_{m=1}^n (z_m + p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$, and going from $-ip\infty$ to $+ip\infty$. More precisely, we assume that the curve C admits a smooth parametrization $\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\rho(u)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\frac{d}{du} \rho(u) \rightarrow \alpha_{\pm}, \quad \pm \text{Im}(\alpha_{\pm}/p) > 0, \quad u \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

where $\alpha_{\pm}$ are some complex numbers. In principle, the curve C depends on $z_1, \dots, z_n$, but for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n$ one can show that there is the required curve which does not change under small variations of $z_1, \dots, z_n$.

Denote by $I^{\otimes \ell}(w, W)$ the following integral:

$$(3.3) \quad I^{\otimes \ell}(w, W) = \frac{1}{\ell!} \int_{C^\ell} w(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) W(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} \phi(t_a) dt_a.$$

We are interested in the integrals $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W)$ where the function w_M , $\#M = \ell$, is defined by (3.1) and $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$, and call them the hypergeometric integrals. If $0 < \text{Im } \mu < 2\pi$, then the integrand of the integral $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W)$ vanishes exponentially if $|t_1| + \dots + |t_\ell| \rightarrow \infty$ and the integral is clearly convergent. If $\text{Im } \mu = 0$, then the integral $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W)$ is convergent provided that either $2\ell \leq n$ or $W \in \mathcal{F}_q^{\wedge \ell}$. In fact, for arbitrary ℓ, w_M and $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ the integral $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W)$ considered as a function of μ has a finite limit if μ tends from inside the strip $0 < \text{Im } \mu < 2\pi$ to any point on the boundary lines $\text{Im } \mu = 0$ and $\text{Im } \mu = 2\pi$ except 0 and $2\pi i$. Further, if necessary, the integrals $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W)$ should be understood in the described regularized sense. Notice that the hypergeometric integrals do not depend on a particular choice of the contour C .

The most essential property of the hypergeometric integrals is described in Propositions 3.2, 3.3.

Let D be the following operator acting on functions of one variable:

$$(3.4) \quad Df(t) = f(t) - e^\mu f(t+p) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{t - z_j - \hbar}{t - z_j},$$

that is,

$$\phi(t) Df(t) = \phi(t) f(t) - \phi(t+p) f(t+p).$$

Introduce a space $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes \ell}$ of rational functions $f(t_1, \dots, t_\ell; z_1, \dots, z_n)$ such that the product

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_\ell; z_1, \dots, z_n) \prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} (t_a - z_m) \prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} (t_a - z_m - \hbar - p)$$

is a polynomial in $t_1, \dots, t_\ell$ of degree less than $2n + \ell$. Notice that the space $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes \ell}$ contains all the functions w_M , $\#M = \ell$. The integrals $I^{\otimes \ell}(f, W)$ for $f \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes \ell}$ and $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ are defined similarly to the integrals $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W)$.

Denote by D_a the operator D acting with respect to the variable t_a . We call functions of the form $\sum_{a=1}^{\ell} D_a f_a$ *total differences*, if $f_a \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes \ell}$ for all $a = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $0 \leq \text{Im } \mu < 2\pi$ and $\mu \neq 0$. Then $I(D_a f, W) = 0$, $a = 1, \dots, \ell$, for any $f \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes \ell}$ and $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$.*

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mu = 0$ and either $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$, $2\ell \leq n$, or $W \in \mathcal{F}_q^{\wedge \ell}$. Then $I(D_a f, W) = 0$, $a = 1, \dots, \ell$, for any $f \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes \ell}$.*

The proof of Propositions 3.2, 3.3 is rather straightforward.

For any $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ define a function $\Psi_W(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ with values in $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$ as follows:

$$(3.5) \quad \Psi_W(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{\#M=\ell} I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W) v_M,$$

where the vectors v_M are given by (3.2).

Theorem 3.4. *Let $0 \leq \text{Im } \mu < 2\pi$ and $\mu \neq 0$. Then for any $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ the function Ψ_W is a solution of the qKZ equation (2.1) taking values in $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$.*

Theorem 3.5. Let $\mu = 0$ and either $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$, $2\ell \leq n$, or $W \in \mathcal{F}_q^{\wedge \ell}$. Then the function Ψ_W is a solution of the qKZ equation (2.1) taking values in $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}}$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\mu = 0$, $2\ell > n$ and $W \in \mathcal{F}_q^{\wedge \ell}$. Then $\Psi_W = 0$.

Proof. The subspace $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}}$ is trivial if $2\ell > n$, which implies the claim. $\square$

Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 follow from general results on formal integral representations for solutions of the qKZ equation, see [R], [V], and Propositions 3.2, 3.3. Details of the proof in the case $\mu = 0$ are available in [NPT]. The proof in the case $\mu \neq 0$ is similar.

Solutions Ψ_W of the qKZ equation are called the *hypergeometric solutions*.

4. Completeness of the hypergeometric solutions

Let us formulate accurately the meaning of completeness of the hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation. We will use the following compact notation: $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$.

Consider a vector space $\mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_n$ and a union of hyperplanes $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$:

$$\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_k - z_m + \hbar \in p\mathbb{Z}, \quad k, m = 1, \dots, n, \quad k \neq m\},$$

called a *discriminant*. Say that $z_1, \dots, z_n$ are *generic* if $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \notin \mathbb{D}$. The complement of the discriminant is a natural definition region for solutions of the qKZ equation (2.1).

Indeed, the qKZ operators $K_1(z), \dots, K_n(z)$ are invertible for generic z , since the R -matrix $R(x)$ is invertible for $x \neq \pm \hbar$. Therefore, for generic z values of a solution Ψ of the qKZ equation (2.1) at points of a lattice $z + p\mathbb{Z}$, are uniquely determined by its value $\Psi(z)$ at the initial point; the initial value can be an arbitrary vector in $V^{\otimes n}$ because for any $j, m = 1, \dots, n$

$$K_j(z_1, \dots, z_m + p, \dots, z_n) K_m(z_1, \dots, z_n) = K_m(z_1, \dots, z_j + p, \dots, z_n) K_j(z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

The contour C used in the definition of the hypergeometric integral (3.3) exists for generic z . Therefore, if a periodic function $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ is regular in a vicinity of a generic point z , so is the corresponding hypergeometric solution Ψ_W of the qKZ equation. Hence, we can formulate completeness of the hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation in the following way.

Theorem 4.1. Let $z_1, \dots, z_n$ be generic. If $0 \leq \text{Im } \mu < 2\pi$ and $\mu \neq 0$, then

$$\{\Psi_W(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}\} = (V^{\otimes n})_\ell.$$

If $\mu = 0$ and $2\ell \leq n$, then

$$\{\Psi_W(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}\} = (V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}}.$$

Given a point $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ consider a space $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}(z)$ of functions in $t_1, \dots, t_\ell$ which have the form $W(\cdot; z)$ for some $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$. In other words, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}(z)$ is a space of functions $F(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$ such that the product

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) \prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} (1 - \exp(2\pi i(t_a - z_m)/p))$$

is an antisymmetric polynomial in the exponentials $e^{2\pi i t_1/p}, \dots, e^{2\pi i t_\ell/p}$ of degree less than n in each of the variables. The space $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}(z)$ is finite-dimensional and $\dim \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}(z) = \dim(V^{\otimes n})_\ell = \binom{n}{\ell}$.

Define the *hypergeometric map* $\bar{I}_{z,\ell} : \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}(z) \rightarrow (V^{\otimes n})_\ell$ by the rule:

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{I}_{z,\ell} W = \sum_{\#M=\ell} I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W) v_M,$$

so that $\Psi_W(z) = \bar{I}_{z,\ell} W(\cdot; z)$, cf. (3.5). Then we write down Theorem 4.1 in terms of the hypergeometric map.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mu \neq 0$. Then for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n$ the hypergeometric map $\bar{I}_{z,\ell} : \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}(z) \rightarrow (V^{\otimes n})_\ell$ is bijective.

The proof is given in the next section.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mu = 0$. Then for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n$ we have $\text{im } \bar{I}_{z,\ell} = (V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}}$.*

The proof is given in Section 6.

For $\mu \neq 0$ the hypergeometric map $\bar{I}_{z,\ell}$ has a trivial kernel. So any nonzero periodic function $W \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ produces a nonzero solution Ψ_W , that is, the space of solutions of the qKZ equation (2.1) with values in $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$ is isomorphic to the space $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ of periodic functions. On the contrary, for $\mu = 0$ the hypergeometric map $\bar{I}_{z,\ell}$ has a nontrivial kernel, which means that for certain periodic functions the corresponding hypergeometric solutions vanish, and we have a problem of describing those periodic functions which produces zero solutions of the qKZ equation.

Introduce the following functions:

$$(4.2) \quad \Xi^{(1)}(t) = \Theta(t) - 1, \quad \Theta(t) = \prod_{m=1}^n \frac{\exp(2\pi i(t - z_m)/p) + 1}{\exp(2\pi i(t - z_m)/p) - 1},$$

$$\Xi^{(2)}(t_1, t_2) = (\Theta(t_1)\Theta(t_2) - 1) \frac{\exp(2\pi i(t_1 - t_2)/p) - 1}{\exp(2\pi i(t_1 - t_2)/p) + 1} + \Theta(t_1) - \Theta(t_2).$$

It is easy to see that $\Xi^{(1)} \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge 1}$ and $\Xi^{(2)} \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge 2}$. Define linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} X_{z,\ell}^{(1)} : \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge(\ell-1)}(z) &\rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}(z), & X_{z,\ell}^{(1)} F(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) &= \text{Asym}(\Xi^{(1)}(t_1) F(t_2, \dots, t_\ell)), \\ X_{z,\ell}^{(2)} : \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge(\ell-2)}(z) &\rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}(z), & X_{z,\ell}^{(2)} F(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) &= \text{Asym}(\Xi^{(2)}(t_1, t_2) F(t_3, \dots, t_\ell)). \end{aligned}$$

They obviously induce linear maps $X_\ell^{(1)} : \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge(\ell-1)} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ and $X_\ell^{(2)} : \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge(\ell-2)} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mu = 0$ and $2\ell \leq n$. Then for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n$ we have $\ker \bar{I}_{z,\ell} = \text{im } X_{z,\ell}^{(1)} + \text{im } X_{z,\ell}^{(2)}$. Here we assume by convention that $\text{im } X_{z,\ell}^{(a)} = 0$ for $\ell < a$.*

The proof is given in Section 7.

The last theorem means that if $\mu = 0$ and a periodic function W has the form $W = X_\ell^{(1)} W_1 + X_\ell^{(2)} W_2$ where W_1, W_2 are some periodic functions in less numbers of variables, then $\Psi_W = 0$, and vice versa, that is, the space of solutions of the qKZ equation (2.1) at $\mu = 0$ with values in $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}}$ is isomorphic to the quotient space $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell} / (\text{im } X_\ell^{(1)} + \text{im } X_\ell^{(2)})$. An interesting question is to see whether there is a distinguished subspace in $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$ complementary to $\text{im } X_\ell^{(1)} + \text{im } X_\ell^{(2)}$.

Remark. The relation $\bar{I}_{z,\ell} X_{z,\ell}^{(2)} W = 0$ was originally discovered by F. Smirnov in [S2] as a consequence of the deformed Riemann bilinear identity. In Section 8 we give another interpretation of this relation in terms of the representation theory of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ at $q = e^{\pi i/2}$.

5. Proof of Theorem 4.2

Recall that we assume $p = 2\hbar$, so that $z_1, \dots, z_n$ are generic if and only if

$$\prod_{1 \leq k < m \leq n} (e^{2\pi i z_k/p} + e^{2\pi i z_m/p}) \neq 0.$$

Let M be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, $M = \{m_1 < \dots < m_\ell\}$. Introduce a function $W_M(t_1, \dots, t_\ell; z_1, \dots, z_n)$ as follows:

$$(5.1) \quad W_M = \text{Asym } G_M,$$

$$G_M(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) = \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{1}{\exp(2\pi i(t_a - z_{m_a})/p) - 1} \prod_{1 \leq j < m_a} \frac{\exp(2\pi i(t_a - z_j)/p) + 1}{\exp(2\pi i(t_a - z_j)/p) - 1} \right),$$

cf. (3.1). It is clear that $W_M \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}$.

Proposition 5.1. For generic $z_1, \dots, z_n$ functions $W_M(\cdot, z)$, $\#M = \ell$, form a basis in the space $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge \ell}(z)$.

Proof. First observe that it suffices to prove the statement for $\ell = 1$. For $\ell = 1$ the statement is implied by Lemma 5.2 after a substitution: $u = e^{2\pi i t/p}$, $x_m = y_m = -e^{2\pi i z_m/p}$, $m = 1, \dots, n$. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. Define a matrix M as follows: $\sum_{k=1}^n M_{jk} u^{k-1} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j} (u - x_i) \prod_{j < i \leq n} (u + y_i)$. Then

$$\det M = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i + y_j).$$

The proof is similar to the proof of the Vandermonde determinant.

Theorem 5.3. Let $0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \mu < 2\pi$ and $z_1, \dots, z_n$ be generic. Let either $\mu \neq 0$ or $2\ell \leq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det [I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W_N)]_{M, N \subset \{1, \dots, n\}}^{\#M = \#N = \ell} &= \\ &= \left((ip)^{n(n-1)/2} (i\Gamma(-1/2))^n (e^\mu - 1)^{n/2} \exp\left(\mu \sum_{m=1}^n z_m/p\right) \right)^{\binom{n-1}{\ell-1}} \prod_{1 \leq k < m \leq n} (z_k - z_m - \hbar)^{-\binom{n-2}{\ell-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $0 \leq \arg(e^\mu - 1) < 2\pi$.

Proof (idea). The proof of the theorem is in common with the proof of Theorem 5.14 in [TV]. Let us indicate here only basic points.

Denote by $D(z)$ the determinant in question and by $E(z)$ the right hand side of the formula to be proved. Clearly, it is enough to establish the equality $D(z) = E(z)$ under the assumption $0 < \operatorname{Im} \mu < 2\pi$, since both $D(z)$ and $E(z)$ are continuous up to the boundary line $\operatorname{Im} \mu = 0$.

The determinant $D(z)$ obeys a system of difference equations following from the qKZ equation:

$$D(z_1, \dots, z_m + p, \dots, z_n) = \det^{(\ell)} K_m(z_1, \dots, z_n) D(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad m = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$\det^{(\ell)} K_m = e^{\mu \binom{n-1}{\ell-1}} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j < m} \frac{z_m - z_j - \hbar + p}{z_m - z_j + \hbar + p} \prod_{m < j \leq n} \frac{z_m - z_j - \hbar}{z_m - z_j + \hbar} \right)^{\binom{n-2}{\ell-1}}$$

is a determinant of the restriction of the operator K_m to the weight subspace $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$. This shows that the ratio $D(z)/E(z)$ is a p -periodic function of $z_1, \dots, z_n$ (quasiconstant).

To find this quasiconstant we look at the behaviour of the hypergeometric integrals $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W_N)$ as $\operatorname{Re}((z_m - z_{m+1})/p)$ tends to $+\infty$ for all $m = 1, \dots, n-1$ and compute the asymptotics of the determinant $D(z)$ in this limit. As a result, we obtain that the ratio $D(z)/E(z)$ is a quasiconstant which tends to 1 as $\operatorname{Re}((z_m - z_{m+1})/p) \rightarrow +\infty$ for all $m = 1, \dots, n-1$. Such a quasiconstant necessarily equals 1, which yields the theorem.

The method to determine the required asymptotics of the hypergeometric integrals as $\operatorname{Re}((z_m - z_{m+1})/p) \rightarrow +\infty$ is developed in [TV]. We describe it briefly for the case in question.

Take a simple curve $\tilde{C}$ going from $-i\infty$ to $+i\infty$, separating the sets $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $1/2 + \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and such that $|\operatorname{Re} u| < 1$ for any $u \in \tilde{C}$. For any $x \in \mathbb{C}$ define $\hat{C}(x) = x + p\tilde{C}$.

It is clear that $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W_N) = \ell! I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, G_N)$. The integrand of the integral $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, G_N)$ has poles at hyperplanes $t_a = z_j + ps$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, only for $j \leq n_a$, and at hyperplanes $t_a = z_j + \hbar + ps$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, only for $j \geq n_a$. Therefore, if $\operatorname{Re}((z_m - z_{m+1})/p)$ is large positive for any $m = 1, \dots, n-1$, then the contour C^ℓ in the definition of the integral $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, G_N)$ can be replaced by the contour $\hat{C}^\ell(z) = \hat{C}(z_{n_1}) \times \dots \times \hat{C}(z_{n_\ell})$ without changing the integral, so we get

$$I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W_N) = \int_{\hat{C}^\ell(z)} w_M(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) G_N(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} \phi(t_a) dt_a,$$

cf. (3.3). Substituting into the last integral the explicit expressions for w_M , G_N and ϕ , we observe that in the limit $\operatorname{Re}((z_m - z_{m+1})/p) \rightarrow +\infty$, $m = 1, \dots, n-1$, most of the terms of the integrand can be replaced by their asymptotics*. As a result, the integral splits into a sum of products of one-dimensional integrals. The one-dimensional integrals can be calculated explicitly using the formulae

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{\tilde{C}} e^{u(\mu - \pi i)} \Gamma(u - 1/2) \Gamma(k - u) du = 2\pi \Gamma(k - 1/2) e^{k\mu} (e^\mu - 1)^{1/2-k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

where $0 \leq \arg(e^\mu - 1) < 2\pi$. The formulae follow from the formula for the Barnes integral [WW].

At last, the leading term of the asymptotics of the integral $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W_N)$ takes the form

$$\exp\left(\mu \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} z_{n_a}/p\right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (z_j - z_k)^{d_{jkMN}} (c_{MN} (e^\mu - 1)^{r_{MN}} + o(1))$$

for a certain coefficient c_{MN} and exponents d_{ijMN} , r_{MN} , whereof one can see that $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W_N) = o(I^{\otimes \ell}(w_N, W_N))$ for $M \neq N$. Hence, for the determinant $D(z)$ we have

$$D(z) = \prod_{\#M=\ell} I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W_M) (1 + o(1))$$

as $\operatorname{Re}((z_m - z_{m+1})/p) \rightarrow +\infty$, $m = 1, \dots, n-1$. The remaining computation which shows that $D(z)/E(z) \rightarrow 1$ in this limit is rather straightforward. $\square$

Remark. Below we describe a differential equation with respect to μ obeyed by the hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation, see (6.1). It implies a differential equation with respect to μ for the determinant $\det[I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W_N)]$, which allows to give another proof of Theorem 5.3 using the differential equation and asymptotics of the hypergeometric integrals $I^{\otimes \ell}(w_M, W_N)$ as $\operatorname{Re} \mu \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

To illustrate the idea let us sketch a proof of formula (5.2). Both sides of the formula satisfy a differential equation

$$2(e^\mu - 1) \partial_\mu f(\mu) = (e^\mu - 2k) f(\mu),$$

hence they are proportional. The leading term of asymptotics of the integral in the left hand side as $\operatorname{Re} \mu \rightarrow +\infty$ is determined by the rightmost pole of the integrand lying to the left of the integration contour; the pole is located at $u = 1/2$. The asymptotics of the integral equals $2\pi \Gamma(k - 1/2) e^{\mu/2} (1 + o(1))$ and clearly coincides with the asymptotics of the right hand side. Formula (5.2) is proved.

Theorem 4.2 follows from definition (4.1) of the hypergeometric map, Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.3.

6. Proof of Theorem 4.3

Our proof of Theorem 4.3 is based on an additional differential equation which holds for hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation.

Theorem 6.1. *Let Ψ be a hypergeometric solution of the qKZ equation (2.1), see (3.5). Then*

$$(6.1) \quad p \partial_\mu \Psi = \left(\sum_{m=1}^n z_m H_m + \frac{\hbar}{e^\mu - 1} \left(e^\mu \sum_{m=1}^n H_m + \sum_{1 \leq k < m \leq n} (e^\mu \sigma_k^- \sigma_m^+ + \sigma_k^+ \sigma_m^-) \right) \right) \Psi.$$

The proof of the theorem is given in Appendix. The theorem is a particular specialization of a more general result about hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equations and the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov differential equations [FTV].

Remark. One can check directly that the equation (6.1) is compatible with the qKZ equation (2.1):

$$(6.2) \quad L(z_1, \dots, z_m + p, \dots, z_n) K_m(z_1, \dots, z_n) = p \partial_\mu K_m(z_1, \dots, z_n) + K_m(z_1, \dots, z_n) L(z_1, \dots, z_n),$$

$m = 1, \dots, n$, where L is the operator in the right hand side of equation (6.1), see Appendix.

*It is important at this moment that we assume $0 < \operatorname{Im} \mu < 2\pi$, so the integrand decays exponentially as $|t_1| + \dots + |t_\ell| \rightarrow \infty$.

Let U be a finite-dimensional vector space and $A(\mu)$ be an $\text{End}(U)$ -valued function holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $\mu = 0$. Consider a differential equation:

$$(6.3) \quad \mu \partial_\mu \Psi = A(\mu) \Psi.$$

Set $A_0 = A(0)$. Assume that the operator A_0 is diagonalizable, and let $\psi \in U$ be an eigenvector of A_0 : $A_0 \psi = \alpha \psi$.

Proposition 6.2. *There is a solution Ψ of the equation (6.3) which have the form*

$$\Psi(\mu) = \mu^\alpha \left(\psi + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{i_k} \mu^k (\log \mu)^j \psi_{jk} \right)$$

where $0 = i_0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots$ are integers such that $i_k + 1 \geq i_{k+1}$ for any $k \geq 0$ and $i_k = i_{k+1}$ for large k , and $\psi_{jk} \in U$. The series is convergent for μ in a punctured neighbourhood of $\mu = 0$.

The proof is an exercise on the analytic theory of differential equations; we leave it to a reader.

Corollary 6.3. *Assume that all nonzero eigenvalues of A_0 have positive real parts. Let $\Psi(\mu) \in \text{End}(U)$ be a fundamental solution of the equation (6.3), $\det \Psi(\mu) \neq 0$, in a punctured neighbourhood of $\mu = 0$. Then there is a limit $\Psi_0 = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \Psi(\mu)$ and $\text{im } \Psi_0 = \ker A_0$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 6.2 we can start from an eigenbasis of A_0 in U and lift it to a fundamental solution of the equation (6.3). For this fundamental solution the claim of the corollary clearly holds. Since two fundamental solutions of the same differential equation differ only by multiplication from the right by a nondegenerate constant matrix, the corollary follows. $\square$

For the equation (6.1) taking into account that $p = 2\hbar$ and $H_m = \sigma_m^- \sigma_m^+$ we have

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^- \Sigma^+ = \frac{1}{8} (\Sigma^3 (\Sigma^3 + 2) + 4 \Sigma^- \Sigma^+) - \frac{1}{8} \Sigma^3 (\Sigma^3 + 2)$$

where $\Sigma^a = \sum_{m=1}^n \sigma_m^a$, $a = \pm, 3$. Since the first term of the last expression is the Casimir operator for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, the operator A_0 restricted to the weight subspace $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$ has the following eigenvalues:

$$\frac{(n-2k)(n-2k+2) - (n-2\ell)(n-2\ell+2)}{8} = \frac{(\ell-k)(n-k-\ell+1)}{2},$$

$k = 0, \dots, \min(\ell, n-\ell)$, which are nonnegative half-integers. Besides, $\ker A_0 \cap (V^{\otimes n})_\ell = (V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}}$.

Consider the hypergeometric map $\bar{I}_{z,\ell}(\mu)$, cf. (4.1), where we write its dependence of μ explicitly. Theorem 6.1 means that $\bar{I}_{z,\ell}(\mu)$ obeys the equation (6.1), and according to Theorem 4.2 it is a fundamental solution of the equation (6.1) with values in $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell$. Hence, by Corollary 6.3 there is a limit $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \bar{I}_{z,\ell}(\mu)$ and $\text{im}(\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \bar{I}_{z,\ell}(\mu)) = (V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}}$. If $2\ell \leq n$, then $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \bar{I}_{z,\ell}(\mu) = \bar{I}_{z,\ell}(0)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Remark. The fact that $\text{im } \bar{I}_{z,\ell}(0) \subset (V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}}$ is well known, see [NPT] for details of its proof.

7. Proof of Theorem 4.4

It is known that $\text{im } X_{z,\ell}^{(1)} \subset \ker \bar{I}_{z,\ell}$, see Lemma 5.3 in [NPT] for details. The proof of the second inclusion $\text{im } X_{z,\ell}^{(2)} \subset \ker \bar{I}_{z,\ell}$ is similar, though slightly more complicated, see Appendix. Because of Theorem 4.3 what remains to show is

$$\dim(\text{im } X_{z,\ell}^{(1)} + \text{im } X_{z,\ell}^{(2)}) = \dim(V^{\otimes n})_\ell - \dim(V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}} = \dim \Sigma^-(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-1}.$$

The second equality is due to the common knowledge: $(V^{\otimes n})_\ell = (V^{\otimes n})_\ell^{\text{sing}} \oplus \Sigma^-(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-1}$.

Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ be the Grassman variables: $\xi_k \xi_m = -\xi_m \xi_k$ for any $k, m = 1, \dots, n$. Consider the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$. Set $\deg \xi_1 = \dots = \deg \xi_n = 1$, and denote by $\mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]_k$ the

subspace of elements of degree k . By convention, set $\mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]_k = 0$ for $k < 0$. Introduce elements $\varphi^{(1)}, \varphi^{(2)} \in \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$:

$$(7.1) \quad \varphi^{(1)} = \sum_{m=1}^n \xi_m, \quad \varphi^{(2)} = \sum_{1 \leq k < m \leq n} \xi_k \xi_m.$$

Consider isomorphisms of vector spaces:

$$(7.2) \quad \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge k}(z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]_k, \quad W_M(\cdot, z) \mapsto \xi_{m_1} \dots \xi_{m_k},$$

where $M = \{m_1 < \dots < m_k\}$. Under these isomorphisms the operator $X_{z,\ell}^{(a)}$ translates modulo proportionality into multiplication by $\varphi^{(a)}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]_{(\ell-a)}$, because the functions $\Xi^{(1)}, \Xi^{(2)}$, cf. (4.2), can be written as follows:

$$(7.3) \quad \Xi^{(1)} = 2 \sum_{m=1}^n W_{\{m\}}, \quad \Xi^{(2)} = 4 \sum_{1 \leq k < m \leq n} W_{\{k,m\}},$$

the proof being given at the end of the section. Here the functions $W_{\{m\}}$ and $W_{\{k,m\}}$ are given by (5.1) for $M = \{m\}$ and $M = \{k, m\}$, respectively.

Therefore, the problem is to show that

$$(7.4) \quad \dim(\varphi^{(1)} \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]_{(\ell-1)} + \varphi^{(2)} \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]_{(\ell-2)}) = \dim \Sigma^-(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-1}.$$

The cases $\ell = 0, 1$ are trivial. The case $\ell = n = 2$ is simple. From now on we assume that $\ell \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ (recall that $\ell \leq n$). It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]_k = \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_k \oplus \varphi^{(1)} \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_{k-1}$$

and

$$\varphi^{(1)} \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_{k-1} = \varphi^{(1)} \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]_{k-1}.$$

Set $\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{1 \leq k < m < n} \xi_k \xi_m$. Since $\varphi^{(2)} = \tilde{\varphi} + \varphi^{(1)} \xi_n$, it is a simple exercise to replace relation (7.4) by

$$\dim \varphi^{(1)} \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_{(\ell-1)} + \dim \tilde{\varphi} \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_{(\ell-2)} = \dim \Sigma^-(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-1}.$$

Calculating dimensions explicitly, we further reduce the last relation to the following one:

$$\dim \tilde{\varphi} \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_{(\ell-2)} = \begin{cases} \dim \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_{(\ell-2)} & \text{if } 2\ell \leq n \\ \dim \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_{\ell} & \text{if } 2\ell > n \end{cases},$$

which another look is

$$(7.5) \quad \dim \tilde{\varphi} \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_{(\ell-2)} = \min(\dim \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_{(\ell-2)}, \dim \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]_{\ell}).$$

Now it is convenient to change the variables. Set

$$\zeta_k = \xi_k + \dots + \xi_{n-k-1}, \quad \zeta_{n-k} = \xi_{k+1} + \dots + \xi_{n-k}, \quad 1 \leq k < n/2,$$

and $\zeta_{n/2} = \xi_{n/2}$ if n is even. Then $\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{1 \leq k < n/2} \zeta_k \zeta_{n-k}$.

Denote by $\hat{\zeta}_m$ and $\hat{\varphi}$ the operators of multiplication by ζ_m and $\tilde{\varphi}$, respectively. Introduce the Grassman derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_{n-1}$ corresponding to $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}$:

$$\partial_k 1 = 0, \quad \partial_k \hat{\zeta}_m + \hat{\zeta}_m \partial_k = \delta_{km}, \quad k, m = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Set $D = \sum_{1 \leq k < n/2} \partial_k \partial_{n-k}$. Then the operators $D, \hat{\varphi}$ and $[D, \hat{\varphi}]$ constitute an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ action in $\mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}]$, and the relation (7.5) follows from the representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. $\square$

Proof of formulae (7.3). Set

$$\Theta_k(t) = \prod_{m=1}^k \frac{\exp(2\pi i(t - z_m)/p) + 1}{\exp(2\pi i(t - z_m)/p) - 1}.$$

The first of the formulae (7.3) immediately results from the equality $\Theta_k = \Theta_{k-1} + 2W_{\{k\}}$. The second formula can be proved by induction with respect to n using the same relation. The base of induction is $n = 1$. In this case the second formula reads $\Xi^{(2)} = 0$, and is verified straightforwardly. $\square$

8. Kernel of the hypergeometric map at $\mu = 0$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ at $q = e^{\pi i/2}$

Consider the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ with generators e, f, k subject to relations

$$ke = q^2 ek, \quad kf = q^{-2}fk, \quad [e, f] = \frac{k - k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

and a coproduct Δ :

$$\Delta(e) = 1 \otimes e + e \otimes k, \quad \Delta(f) = k^{-1} \otimes f + f \otimes 1, \quad \Delta(k) = k \otimes k,$$

Make the space V into a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module, the generators e, f, k acting as $\sigma^+, \sigma^-, q^{\sigma^3}$, respectively. Then the generator f is represented in the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module $V^{\otimes n}$ by the operator $F(q) \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes n})$:

$$F(q) = \sum_{m=1}^n q^{-\sigma_1^3} \dots q^{-\sigma_{m-1}^3} \sigma_m^-.$$

Looking at the limit $q \rightarrow e^{\pi i/2}$ define operators $F^{(1)}, F^{(2)} \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes n})$:

$$F^{(1)} = -iF(e^{\pi i/2}) = \sum_{m=1}^n (-i)^m \sigma_1^3 \dots \sigma_{m-1}^3 \sigma_m^-,$$

$$F^{(2)} = -\lim_{q \rightarrow i} \frac{F(q)^2}{1 + q^2} = \sum_{1 \leq k < m \leq n} (-i)^{k+m} \sigma_k^- \sigma_{k+1}^3 \dots \sigma_{m-1}^3 \sigma_m^-,$$

the overall normalization factors being fixed just for the aesthetic reason. There is a faithful representation of $\mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ in $V^{\otimes n}$ given by

$$(8.1) \quad \xi_m \mapsto (-i)^m \sigma_1^3 \dots \sigma_{m-1}^3 \sigma_m^-, \quad m = 1, \dots, n,$$

the operators $F^{(1)}, F^{(2)}$ representing the elements $\varphi^{(1)}, \varphi^{(2)} \in \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$, cf. (7.1). This representation is equivalent to the left regular representation of $\mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ with the following intertwiner:

$$(8.2) \quad \xi_{m_1} \dots \xi_{m_k} \mapsto (-i)^{\sum_{a=1}^k m_a} v_M, \quad 1 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_k \leq n,$$

where $M = \{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ and the vector v_M is defined by (3.2). In fact, the representation (8.1) is the Jordan-Wigner transformation.

For generic q it is known that $\dim F(q)(V^{\otimes n})_k = \dim \Sigma^-(V^{\otimes n})_k$ for any $k = 0, \dots, n$. Consider a subspace $\lim_{q \rightarrow i} (F(q)(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-1})$, taking the limit in the topology of the corresponding Grassmanian. The limit exists because $F(q)$ is holomorphic at $q = i$.

The subspace $\lim_{q \rightarrow i} (F(q)(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-1})$ contains both $F^{(1)}(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-1}$ and $F^{(2)}(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-2}$. Hence, the equality (7.4) implies that

$$(8.3) \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow i} (F(q)(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-1}) = F^{(1)}(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-1} + F^{(2)}(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-2}.$$

Denote by C_z the composition of isomorphisms (7.2) and (8.2):

$$C_z : \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{\wedge k}(z) \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}, \quad C_z : W_M(\cdot, z) \mapsto (-i)^{\sum_{a=1}^k m_a} v_M,$$

Under this map the operator $X_{z,\ell}^{(a)}$ translates modulo proportionality into the operator $F^{(a)}$ acting on $(V^{\otimes n})_{(\ell-a)}$. So, by Theorem 4.4 and relation (8.3) the kernel of the hypergeometric map $\bar{I}_{z,\ell}$ corresponds to the limit of the subspace $F(q)(V^{\otimes n})_{\ell-1}$ as q tends to i .

Appearance of the map C_z in the description of solutions of the qKZ equation is not accidental. Utilizing the general picture developed in [TV], [MV] one can see that for generic step p the space of solutions of the qKZ equation (2.1) at $\mu \neq 0$ with values in $V^{\otimes n}$ is naturally isomorphic to a tensor product $\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V^{\otimes n}$ of the field of quasiconstants $\mathbb{F}$ and the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module $V^{\otimes n}$ described at the beginning of this section, q being equal to $e^{\pi i \hbar / p}$. The isomorphism is based on the construction of hypergeometric solutions of the qKZ equation and its realization in the case $p = 2\hbar$, studied here, is the map C_z .

At the same time, for generic step p , the space of solutions of the qKZ equation (2.1) at $\mu = 0$ with values in $(V^{\otimes n})^{sing}$ is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (V^{\otimes n})^{sing,q}$, where $(V^{\otimes n})^{sing,q}$ is a subspace of singular vectors with respect to the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, or $\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (V^{\otimes n}/F(q)V^{\otimes n})$. These models of the space of solutions are equivalent, since $V^{\otimes n} = (V^{\otimes n})^{sing,q} \oplus F(q)V^{\otimes n}$ for generic q .

The results of this paper means that for $p = 2\hbar$, so that $q = e^{\pi i / 2}$, the second model survives with the minimal required modification; namely, the space of solutions of the qKZ equation (2.1) at $\mu = 0$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of $\mathbb{F}$ and the quotient space

$$V^{\otimes n} / \lim_{q \rightarrow i} (F(q)V^{\otimes n}) = V^{\otimes n} / (F^{(1)}V^{\otimes n} + F^{(2)}V^{\otimes n}).$$

Unfortunately, the first model does not survive at $p = 2\hbar$, at least for even n , because in this case the subspaces $\lim_{q \rightarrow i} (V^{\otimes n})^{sing,q}$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow i} (F(q)V^{\otimes n})$ intersect nontrivially, and therefore, their sum does not coincide with $V^{\otimes n}$.

Appendix

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let D be the operator defined by (3.4) and denote by D_a the operator D acting with respect to the variable t_a . The operators $D_1, \dots, D_n$ naturally transform under permutations of the variables $t_1, \dots, t_\ell$; in particular,

$$\text{Asym}(D_1 f(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_\ell} \text{sgn}(\sigma) D_{\sigma_1}(f(t_{\sigma_1}, \dots, t_{\sigma_\ell})).$$

Take a subset $N = \{n_1 < \dots < n_{\ell-1}\}$ and consider a total difference

$$\hbar^{-1} \text{Asym}(D_1(g_N(t_2, \dots, t_\ell) \prod_{a=2}^{\ell} (t_1 - t_a - \hbar))),$$

cf. (3.1). Set $n_0 = 0$. Given b and m such that $1 \leq b < \ell$, $n_{b-1} < m < n_b$, using Lemma A.1 we transform this function as follows:

$$\hbar^{-1}(e^\mu - 1) z_m w_{N \cup \{m\}} + e^\mu w_{N \cup \{m\}} + \sum_{\substack{k \notin N \\ 1 \leq k < m}} w_{N \cup \{k\}} + e^\mu \sum_{\substack{k \notin N \\ m < k \leq n}} w_{N \cup \{k\}} - \hbar^{-1}(e^\mu - 1) \text{Asym}(t_b g_{N \cup \{m\}}).$$

For a subset $M = \{m_1 < \dots < m_\ell\}$ let us substitute $N = M \setminus \{m_a\}$, $b = a$, $m = m_a$ into the last expression and then take a sum of the results for all $a = 1, \dots, \ell$. The sum equals

$$\hbar^{-1}(e^\mu - 1) \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} z_{m_a} w_M + e^\mu \ell w_M + \sum_{\substack{k \notin M \\ m \in M \\ k < m}} w_{M \cup \{k\} \setminus \{m\}} + e^\mu \sum_{\substack{k \notin M \\ m \in M \\ k > m}} w_{M \cup \{k\} \setminus \{m\}} - \hbar^{-1}(e^\mu - 1) \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} t_a w_M.$$

We denote it by r_M .

The differential equation (6.1) for the hypergeometric solution Ψ_W , cf. (3.5) of the qKZ equation can be written in the following form

$$I^{\otimes \ell}(r_M, W) = 0 \quad \text{for any } M, \#M = \ell.$$

The function r_M is a total difference by construction, so the claim follows from Proposition 3.2. $\square$

Lemma A.1. *Let $N = \{n_1 < \dots < n_{\ell-1}\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Set $n_0 = 0$, $n_\ell = n$. For any b, m such that $1 \leq b < \ell$, $n_{b-1} < m \leq n_b$ the following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar \sum_{\substack{k \notin N \\ 1 \leq k < m}} w_{N \cup \{k\}}(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) &= \\ &= \text{Asym} \left(\left(\prod_{1 < a \leq b} (t_1 - t_a - \hbar) - \prod_{1 < a \leq b} (t_1 - t_a + \hbar) \prod_{1 \leq k < m} \frac{t_1 - z_m - \hbar}{t_1 - z_m} \right) \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{b < a \leq \ell} (t_1 - t_a - \hbar) g_N(t_2, \dots, t_\ell) \right) \cdot \\ \hbar \sum_{\substack{k \notin N \\ m \leq k \leq n}} w_{N \cup \{k\}}(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) &= \\ &= \text{Asym} \left(\left(\prod_{b < a \leq \ell} (t_1 - t_a - \hbar) - \prod_{b < a \leq \ell} (t_1 - t_a + \hbar) \prod_{m \leq k \leq n} \frac{t_1 - z_m - \hbar}{t_1 - z_m} \right) \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{1 < a \leq b} (t_1 - t_a + \hbar) \prod_{1 \leq k < m} \frac{t_1 - z_m - \hbar}{t_1 - z_m} g_N(t_2, \dots, t_\ell) \right) \cdot \end{aligned}$$

The statement is a simple generalization of Lemma 3.5 in [NPT].

Proof of formula (6.2). Let $\tilde{K}_1, \dots, \tilde{K}_n$ be products of the qKZ operators:

$$\tilde{K}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = K_m(z_1 + p, \dots, z_{m-1} + p, z_m, \dots, z_n) \dots K_1(z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

Then the compatibility conditions (6.2) can be written as follows:

$$(A.1) \quad [L(z_1, \dots, z_n), \tilde{K}_m(z_1, \dots, z_n)] = 0, \quad m = 1, \dots, n.$$

The Yang-Baxter equation for the R -matrix $R(x)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_m(z_1, \dots, z_n) R_{10}(z_1 - u) \dots R_{n0}(z_n - u) \exp(-\mu H_0) &= \\ &= R_{m+1,0}(z_{m+1} - u) \dots R_{n0}(z_n - u) \exp(-\mu H_0) R_{10}(z_1 - u) \dots R_{m0}(z_m - u) \tilde{K}_m(z_1, \dots, z_n), \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$(A.2) \quad [\tilde{K}_m(z_1, \dots, z_n), \text{tr}_0(R_{10}(z_1 - u) \dots R_{n0}(z_n - u) \exp(-\mu H_0))] = 0.$$

On the other hand the operator L appears in the following expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} e^\mu \text{tr}_0(R_{10}(z_1 - u) \dots R_{n0}(z_n - u) \exp(-\mu H_0)) &= \\ &= c_{00} + u^{-1}(c_{10} + c_{11} \sum_{m=1}^n H_m) + u^{-2}(c_{20} + c_{21} \sum_{m=1}^n H_m + c_{22} \left(\sum_{m=1}^n H_m \right)^2 + \hbar(e^\mu - 1)L) + O(u^{-3}) \end{aligned}$$

where c_{ij} are $\mathbb{C}$ -valued coefficients depending on $\mu, z_1, \dots, z_n$. Hence, (A.2) entails the required relation (A.1). $\square$

Proof of inclusion $\text{im } X_{z,\ell}^{(2)} \subset \ker \bar{I}_{z,\ell}$. We give here only a very short draft of the proof to point out the technical piece of subtlety in this case compared with Lemma 5.3 in [NPT].

For brevity we consider the case $\ell = 2$. Set

$$E(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\exp(2\pi i(t_1 - t_2)/p) - 1}{\exp(2\pi i(t_1 - t_2)/p) + 1}, \quad F(t_1, t_2) = \Theta(t_1)\Theta(t_2)E(t_1, t_2),$$

so that

$$\Xi^{(2)}(t_1, t_2) = F(t_1, t_2) - E(t_1, t_2) + \Theta(t_1) - \Theta(t_2).$$

It turns out that for each term W in the right hand side of the above formula all the hypergeometric integrals $I^{\otimes 2}(w_M, W)$, $\#M = 2$. For the third and fourth terms the proof of this assertion is like in [NPT].

For the terms E and F we first have to change the integration variables: $t_1 = u_1$, $t_2 = u_1 + u_2$. After that, considering the integration with respect to the variable u_1 we can apply arguments like in [NPT] to prove vanishing of the hypergeometric integrals $I^{\otimes 2}(w_M, E)$ and $I^{\otimes 2}(w_M, F)$. Notice that the integration contour in the definition of these integrals, cf. (3.3), can be chosen avoiding extra singularities of the integrands produced by the term $\exp(2\pi i(t_1 - t_2)/p) + 1$ in the denominators. $\square$

References

- [FTV] G.Felder, V.Tarasov and A.Varchenko, in preparation.
- [MV] E.Mukhin and A.Varchenko, *The quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation in tensor products of irreducible sl_2 -modules*, Preprint (1997), 1–32.
- [NPT] A.Nakayashiki, S.Pakuliak and V.Tarasov, *On solutions of the KZ and qKZ equations at level zero*, Preprint (1997), 1–24.
- [R] N.Yu.Reshetikhin, *Jackson-type integrals, Bethe vectors, and solutions to a difference analogue of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system*, Lett. Math. Phys. **26** (1992), 153–165.
- [S1] F.A.Smirnov, *Form factors in completely integrable models of quantum field theory*, Advanced Series in Math. Phys., vol.14, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [S2] F.A.Smirnov, *On the deformation of Abelian integrals*, Lett. Math. Phys. **36** (1996), 267–275.
F.A.Smirnov, *Counting the local fields in SG theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B453** (1995), 807–824.
- [TV] V.Tarasov and A.Varchenko, *Geometry of q -hypergeometric functions as a bridge between Yangians and quantum affine algebras*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no. 3, 501–588.
- [V] A.Varchenko, *Quantized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, quantum Yang-Baxter equation, and difference equations for q -hypergeometric functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **162** (1994), 499–528.
- [WW] E.T.Whittaker and G.N.Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1927.